Historical Context:

The year 1763 marked the end of the French and Indian War, the final defeat of the French and their Native American allies in America. For America’s English colonists, this was a cause for great celebration and pride in their English identity. Expressions of English patriotism were widespread. But only twelve years later, these same American colonists found themselves locked in a bitter and violent conflict with the mother country that had so recently been the object of their proud respect. To this day, now over two hundred years later, the reasons behind this abrupt transition of England and its American colonies from allies to enemies are debated.

General Directions: The following thesis question is based on the accompanying documents (1-7). As you analyze the documents, take into account both the source of each document and the author’s point of view. Be sure to:

1. Carefully read the document-based question. Consider what you already know about this topic. How would you answer the question if you had no documents to examine?

2. Now, read each document carefully, highlighting key phrases and words that address the document-based question. You may also wish to add comments or notes. Answer the questions that follow each document.

3. Based on your own knowledge and on the information found in the documents, formulate a thesis that directly answers the question.

4. Organize supportive and relevant information into a brief outline.

5. Write a well-organized essay proving your thesis. The essay should be logically presented and should include information both from the documents and from your own knowledge outside of the documents.

Question: Were the American colonists justified in waging war and breaking away from Britain?

Directions Part A: The following documents address the question of whether the American colonists were really justified in waging war against England. Examine each document carefully, and answer the question or questions that follow.
This excerpt is from "Considerations…", a pamphlet written by Thomas Whately. Whately was an advisor to George Grenville, British Chancellor of the Exchequer (1763-1765) and the author of the Stamp Act. In this pamphlet, Whately explained why the British were justified in levying taxes on their American colonists.

We are not yet recovered from a war undertaken solely for their (the Americans) protection... A war undertaken for their defense only... They should contribute to the preservation of the advantages they have received...

1. (5 points) Why did Whately (and probably most other English officials) feel that the American colonists should be willing to pay higher taxes to Parliament?
There is another late act of Parliament, which appears to me to be unconstitutional, and... Destructive to the liberty of these colonies... The parliament unquestionably possesses a legal authority to regulate the trade of Great Britain and all her colonies. I have looked over every statute (law) relating to these colonies, from their first settlement to this time; and I find every one of them founded on this principle, till the Stamp Act administration... All before, I calculated to regulate trade... The raising of revenue... Was never intended... Never did the British Parliament, (until the passage of the Stamp Act) think of imposing duties in America for the purpose of raising a revenue.

[The Townshend Acts claim the authority] to impose duties on these colonies, not the regulation of trade... But for the single purpose of levying money upon us.

2. (5 points) According to Dickinson, what taxes was Parliament justified in imposing on the colonies?

3. (5 points) Why did he object to the Stamp Act and the Townshend Acts?
On March 5, 1770, a crowd of Boston boys and men surrounded a number of British soldiers and began taunting and cursing them while they pelted them with snowballs. Order quickly broke down, and the frightened soldiers fired into the crowd. When the shooting ended, several people were dead and more were wounded. This engraving by Paul Revere, a leader of the Boston Sons of Liberty, was sent throughout the colonies in the following weeks to arouse anti-British feelings.

4. (5 points) How does the engraving tell a different story from the above description of the Boston massacre?
5. (5 points) Where do you suppose the term "massacre" came from that describes this event?
Document Four


Everything here is in the utmost confusion. Committees are appointed to inspect into the characters and conduct of every tradesman, to prevent them selling tea or buying British manufactures. Some of them have been tarred and feathered, others had their property burnt and destroyed by the populace...

The King Is openly cursed, and his authority set at defiance... Everything is ripe for rebellion. The New Englanders by their canting, whining, insinuating tricks have persuaded the rest of the colonies that the government is going to make absolute slaves of them.

6. (5 points) Who did Cresswell blame for the growing antagonism between the British and the American colonists?
Document Five

This excerpt is from "Declaration of the Causes and Necessity of Taking up Arms", issued by the Second Continental Congress on July 5, 1775. The war had broken out in April, when British forces had marched into Lexington and Concord, two villages just outside of Boston. This document, written largely by John Dickinson and Thomas Jefferson, was designed to explain and justify the fighting that had continued since April.

(The British declare) that Parliament can "of right make laws to bind us in all cases whatsoever". What is to defend us against so enormous, so unlimited a power?... We're reduced to the alternative of choosing an unconditional submission to the tyranny of irritated (British officials), or resistance by force.-The latter is our choice.

7. (5 points) Why, according to this document, were the Americans justified in fighting the British?
These excerpts are from Thomas Paine's *Common Sense*, published in January 1776. This popular pamphlet helps to convince many Americans the conflict with England was beyond peaceful settlement and that independence was America's only course.

Men of passive tempers look somewhat lightly over the offenses of Great Britain, and, still hoping for the best, are apt to call out, "Come, come we shall be friends again for all this". But... Then tell me whether you can hereafter love, honor, and faithfully serve the power that hath carried fire and sword into your land?

... No man was a warmer wisher for a (peaceful settlement) than myself, before the fatal 19th of April 1775 (the battles of Lexington and Concord, Massachusetts, occurred on this day), but the moment the event of that day was made known, I rejected the hardened, sullen-tempered (King of England) for ever.

8. (5 points) Why was Paine unwilling to be reconciled with Britain?

These excerpts are from "The Declaration of Independence", adopted by the Continental Congress of July 4, 1776.

The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations (unlawful seizures), all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States.

In every stage of these oppressions we have petitioned for redress in the most humble terms; our repeated petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a tyrant is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.

10. (5 points) How does this document describe King George?

11. (5 points) From this excerpt of the Declaration of Independence, can it be considered to be an objective and unbiased statement of the American-British conflict? Explain.
Directions Part B:

Use the documents above in crafting a brief 2-3 paragraph response to the Question: Were the American colonists justified in waging war and breaking away from Britain?